



HUCOCA

HUMANITARIAN COUNTRY CAPACITY ANALYSYS METHODOLOGY

(An adapted tool of 'HUCAM' to be applied at Country Level)

1

Content

1. Purpose	2
2. Comprehensive analysis of humanitarian capacity.	2
2.1 Organizational analysis of humanitarian capacities.	2
2.1.1 Guiding Questions / (issues to address) per block:.....	4
2.1.2 Assessment of Capacities	9
2.2 Country context analysis of humanitarian capacities	11
2.2.1 Guiding Questions / (issues to address) per block.....	11
2.3.2 Assessment of Country Contextual Capacities.....	13
3. Integrated Humanitarian Country Capacity Analysis	14
4. Capacity Investment plan.....	14
5. Stakeholders contributions and critical feed back.....	15





1. Purpose

This methodology 'HUCOCA' is based on the HUCAM standard organisational methodology, and it is adapted to be used at country level. Combining organisational analysis and country context and interaction among different stakeholders and driving forces.

It is based on a multiple dimensional analysis model, organized in critical clusters of capacities.

2

The purpose of this tool is:

1. To have a comprehensive analysis of humanitarian capacities at country level, based on organisational analysis, contextual analysis and integration of those analysis
2. To help organizations to define joint impact humanitarian strategies
3. To help organizations to define joint capacity building plans, while promoting areas of specialization and professionalization according with strengths, and opportunities of each stakeholder
4. Facilitating synergies and coordination among different country humanitarian stakeholders

2. Comprehensive analysis of humanitarian capacity.

This analysis will integrate individual organisational analysis and country- context analysis.



2.1 Organizational analysis of humanitarian capacities.

The HUCOCA analysis will follow a simplified version of the HUCAM methodology¹, which clusters Humanitarian Capacities in five areas of analysis, and each one is subdivided in different thematic aspects.

¹ Refer to HUCAM at www.fernandoalmansa.com

HUCOCA: HUMANITARIAN COUNTRY CAPACITY ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY
Designed by Fernando Almansa Updated version 2nd March 2016 based on HUCAM





HUCOCA Organisational capacities table (simplified version of HUCAM)

Capacity Cluster	#	Capacity/thematic area ²
1. Identity and Mission (Who & Why)	1.1 1.2	(1. 2.) Values Mandate*. Purpose* (3. 4.) Leadership*. Attitudes*
2. Managerial Capacities (Hard How)	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9	(1.) Finances* (2.) Human Resources (3. 4.) Logistics. Time (5. 6. 7.) Analytical*. Strategizing*. Planning and Programming (8. 9.) Programme Management (includes M&E)*. Knowledge Management (10. 11.) Governance and decision making * Organisational Structure and processes (12.) Networking and alliance building* (13. 14.) Communications. Advocacy (15. 16.) Risk Management. Institutional Resilience
3. Approach, Commitment (Soft How)	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	(1.) Gender Approach* (2. 3.) Conflict sensitiveness. Rights based Approach (4.) Connectedness, Resilience and DRR approach (5.) Highly Vulnerable individuals / Groups
4. Technical Expertise (What)	4.1 4.2	(1. 2. 3.) WASH Competencies. EFSVL Competencies. Other Competencies (4. 5.) Standards' compliance and accountability. Quality Control management
5. Size Capability (How much)	5.1 5.2	(1. 2. 3. 4.) Organizational volume. Financial capacity /autonomy. Sustained investment and evolution. Human Resources available (5.) Geographical outreach

² Numbers correspond to HUCAM numbering





2.1.1 Guiding Questions / (issues to address) per block:

These questions (or issues to be addressed) are indicative; in all questions examples will be requested to prove consistency of answers

Answers should be noted and later on scored on the table below and on the excel file, on a scale from 0 to 5 (being zero no capacity at all, 1 little capacity, 2 some capacity, 3 enough capacity, 4 good capacity, 5 excellent capacity)

1. Identity / mission (Who & Why)

This is the central pillar of all organisational capacities. It responds to the central questions “Who the organisation is”, and “Why they do what they do”.

1.1	<p>1. Values Mandate*</p> <p>2. Purpose*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spontaneous description of institutional values • Prioritising these values • Spontaneous description of institutional purpose • Focus on core purpose • Is mandate legally reflected in Statutes? • Documents supporting this
1.2	<p>3. Leadership*</p> <p>4. Attitudes*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Type of leadership • Dissemination of leadership • Potential leadership • Adequate / adaptable/ situational leadership <p>Observed attitudes on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Human suffering • Values in practice • unplanned situations (adaptability) • Rigour and accountability • Gender • Rights approach



2.- Managerial Capacities (Hard How)

This cluster responds to the question of “How the Organisation manages and organises itself and its activities”; How is it organised to achieve its objectives. It is the so called “Hard How”.

2.1	1. Finances*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accounting systems in place (check at least 5 years) • Budget in place and monitoring tools (check 5 years) • Financial Management (investment, Treasury) • Liquidity • Volume of funds managed over last 5 years. • Capacity to absorb and manage large amounts of money • Reporting systems • External registered Audits done • Administration costs volume and limiting criteria • Sustainable and diversified income
2.2	2. Human Resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Available staff (number and structure) • Specialized Staff per functional area and on Humanitarian field • HR policy in place or guiding criteria • Recruitment processes • HR surge capacity • Training and induction policies • Volunteers and related policy
2.3	3. Logistics 4. Time	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage capacity or access to it • Mobility capacity • Communication equipments • Chain supply management capacity • Timeliness of decision making • Time to do Needs Assessments • Time to elaborate / present project proposals • Time to approve programmes • Time to move from decisions to implementation
2.4	5. Analytical capacity* 6. Strategizing* 7. Planning and Programming	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orientation to analysis and balance with execution. • Tools available for analysis and correlation with decision making • Sources of information and analysis • At what level analysis is made? • Comprehensive perspective of the organization • Institutional environmental analysis • Self recognition of strengths and weaknesses • Capacity to prioritize • Strategizing methodology • Strategic Plan available and in use • Planning orientation • Planning and programming tools available • Institutional sequence of planning • Use of planning in practice • Readjustment of planning





2.5	<p>8. Programme Management (includes M&E)*</p> <p>9. Knowledge Management</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a good understanding of the project cycle • Technical staff and others attached are familiar with programme management and basic tools • Planning, finances, logs, etc are well informed and coordinated for programme management • There is a clear programme manager assigned to each key programme. • Crosscutting issues do have a methodology to be integrated in all programmes • All programmes have an adequate Monitor & Evaluation accompaniment that feeds into the knowledge management of the organisation • Lesson learnt from evaluations are fed into the institution • Examples of institutional change based on lessons learnt • There are induction packages for new members • Senior staff and junior staff do have the opportunity to share knowledge and refresh it. • Is there a system to access institutional knowledge? • Are there internet or intranet facilities to share knowledge?
2.6	<p>10. Governance and decision making*</p> <p>11. Organisational Structure and processes</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear Governance and management structure • Definition of roles and functions in place • Autonomy and respect of institutional boundaries • Decision based on institutional values and mandate? • Governance, management and admin-log roles and functions are well defined and is well disseminated across the institution • Functional processes are clear and not too heavy. • Flexibility and capacity of interpretation, assumption of responsibilities are in place and stimulated.
2.7	<p>12. Networking and alliance building*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Membership of Networks and platforms • Is there a proactive attitude to share and coordinate with others • Participation in Coordination Fora (who participates and under which agenda?) • Relation with National Civil Protection (or equivalent national Bodies)
2.8	<p>13. Communications</p> <p>14. Advocacy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has a communications strategy • There is a functional area with responsible staff (even part time) in charge of communication • General manager (Executive Director) is directly involved in communications policy • There is a correlation between communications and all core activities of the organization. • There is a formal written policy on advocacy defining the when, the what and the whom to advocate • Advocacy implementation is directly under supervision of General Manager. • There is a risk assessment in all advocacy activities





2.9	15. Risk Management 16. Institutional Resilience	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a good understanding of risk management at governance, management and programming level • There are specific tools to assess risk and to react accordingly • Examples of Risk management in practice. • Beneficiaries, HR, Finance, and institutional Risks are assessed. • Capacity to replace key staff • Capacity to expand activities, keeping a principled management control • Empowered Delegation capacity • Capacity to adapt policies and come back to baseline as needed
-----	---	---

7

3.- Approach, Commitment (Soft How)

This cluster responds to the question of How the Organisation approaches its work. It talks about perspectives, understanding and interpretation in practice of fundamental aspects. It is related to policy in practice. It is the so called “Soft How”.

3.1	1. Gender Approach*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good understanding of gender issues and gender power dynamics • Specific practices are in place related to gender approach applied to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Internal structure and responsibilities ○ Programme design ○ Programme implementation
3.2	2. Conflict sensitiveness 3. Rights based Approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good understanding of potential conflicts attached to programme at programme scale and contextual scale • There is a way to do potential conflict assessment, inform about risk associated and inform decision making. • Decisions made take into account conflict sensitivity • There are mechanisms to minimise or reduce negative conflict impact. • Organisational understanding of the Rights of “beneficiaries” and all parties involved in organizational activities and programmes • Institutional motivation of work includes in a clear manner Rights of people • Entitlement of beneficiaries is a driving force for the design and management of programmes and improving quality of the organizations
3.3	4. Connectedness, Resilience and DRR approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding of Resilience • Understanding of DRR. • All programmes include a Resilience-DRR approach and activities are budgeted for it.
3.4	5. Highly Vulnerable Individuals /Groups (HVI/G)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attention to HVI/G is integrated in all programmes • Coordination with other organisations platforms around HVI/G is done systematically





4.- Technical Expertise (What)

This cluster responds to the question “What the organisation is able to do”. What are its areas of expertise or potential capacity. It approaches the question of qualified knowledge, expertise and capacity. It is the so called “What”.

8

4.1	<p>1. WASH Competencies</p> <p>2. EFSVL Competencies</p> <p>3. Other Competencies</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experience developed (at least three years) • Updated with UN Cluster system • Coordination with UNICEF • Coordination with Civil Protection (or equivalent National bodies) • Equipment and Stores /Warehouses • Skilled Staff and available roster • Coordination with Ministry of Public Works (or similar) • Coordination with WFP/FAO • Coordination with Ministry of Agriculture and Health • Other Clusters (Nutrition, Education, Protection, Shelter, Communications, Logistics, camp management & coordination,...).
4.2	<p>4. Standards' compliance and accountability</p> <p>5. Quality Control management</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge and use of key standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Code of Conduct ○ Sphere ○ HAP ○ (People in Aid) • Specific tools for monitoring of compliance • Regular refreshment training • Standards are part of induction package • Is there a culture of Quality management? • Which KPI (Key Performance Indicators) are used and monitored? • Measures taken over the last 12 months to improve quality management.

5.- Size Capability (How much)

This is a dimensional-measuring cluster. It responds to the question “How much the organisation is able to do”, it builds on previous clusters from the perspective of the Organisational Size.





5.1	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Organizational volume 2. Financial capacity /autonomy 3. Sustained investment and evolution 4. Human Resources available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Volume: annual budget over last five years and assets • Number of full time equivalent (f.t.e.) regular staff • Presence in the territory • Delegations and/or total size of global organization • Total annual Budget • Budget income distribution over the last three years • Budget autonomy versus HQ or donors decisions • Total investment in Humanitarian action over the last five years and projections for the next five years • Humanitarian Percentage over total programme budget • Humanitarian structure percentage over total structure • Total Humanitarian specialised resources over total Organizational staff • Rooster available and reliability of the rooster • Attraction incentives for HR during time of crisis
5.2	5. Geographical outreach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas of previous interventions. • Contact with local municipal Chiefs, and or CBOs • Knowledge of languages • Permanent or temporary local antennas or posts to reach key areas

2.1.2 Assessment of Capacities

Cluster	#	Thematic area	Score 0-5	Strengths	Weaknesses
1. Identity and Mission	1.1	1. Values Mandate* 2. Purpose*		•	•
	1.2	3. Leadership* 4. Attitudes*		•	•
2. Managerial Capacities (Hard How)	2.1	1. Finances*		•	•
	2.2	2. Human Resources		•	•
	2.3	3. Logistics 4. Time management		•	•
	2.4	5. Analytical* 6. Strategizing* 7. Planning and Programming		•	•
	2.5	8. Programme Management * (includes M&E) 9. Knowledge Management		•	•
	2.6	10. Governance and decision making*		•	•



		11. Organisational Structure and processes			
	2.7	12. Networking and alliance building*		•	•
	2.8	13. Communications 14. Advocacy		•	•
	2.9	15. Risk Management 16. Institutional Resilience		•	•
3.- Approach, Commitment (Soft How)	3.1	1. Gender Approach*		•	•
	3.2	2. Conflict sensitiveness 3. Rights based Approach		•	•
	3.3	4. Connectedness, Resilience & DRR		•	•
	3.4	5. Highly Vulnerable Individuals /Groups		•	•
4.- Technical Expertise (What)	4.1	1. WASH Competencies 2. EFSVL Competencies 3. Other Competencies		•	•
	4.2	4. Standards' compliance & accountability 5. Quality Control management		•	•
5.- Size Capability (How much)	5.1	1. Organizational volume 2. Financial capacity /autonomy 3. Sustained investment and evolution 4. Human Resources available		•	•
	5.2	5. Geographical outreach		•	•

Based on the analysis of the questions and research made the following tables of strengths and weaknesses should be filled. Scoring from 0 to 5; being 0 no capacity at all, and 5 maximum (fully adequate capacity). This quantitative scoring should be taken to an assessment excel file in order to work out the necessary analysis and graphs.





2.2 Country context analysis of humanitarian capacities

In this part the exercise examines the country humanitarian context, using the following dimensions:

- A. Society Strength. How strong is the society
- B. State and Politics. How solid is the State, its governing and administration bodies and its politics
- C. Country infrastructures. How strong is the country “hardware”: logistics, infrastructures

2.2.1 Guiding Questions / (issues to address) per block

A. Society Strength. How strong is the society

Guiding questions, issues

A.1	A.1 Strength and power of Civil Society, (NGOs, movement, Religious groups,..)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Typology of Civil Organisations (COs) • Influence of COs in politics, economy and social life • Fluent interaction among COs • Structure of COs: CBO, NGOs, religious Organisations, sports O., etc. • Good Fluidity of interaction of COs with society in general • Interaction of National COs with regional and international Organisations
A.2	A.2 Human Development (Education, Health, Income)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of education of population (gender desegregation data) • Health services and facilities • Income per capita • Livelihood and income vulnerability • Cultural heritage and use of it • Customary and positive law
A.3	A.3 Human Rights respect. Violations of HR, Gender equity and justice, minorities respect,...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Human Rights societal knowledge • HR in practice from customary and positive law • Gender justice, societal gender roles and rights • Minorities inclusion • Knowledge of IHL and its respect.
A.4	A.4 Humanitarian Access. Respect of Humanitarian agencies (INGO and LNGO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IHL is respected in practice • LNGO do have unimpeded access to communities • INGO can do impartial humanitarian work • Humanitarian workers and its equipments are respected





B. State and Politics. How solid is the State, its governing and administration bodies and its politics

Guiding questions, issues

B.1	B.1 Governance and Institutional structures. Government in place, Legitimacy, ...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What's the legitimacy of the Government? • Is Government present across all territory • Does government get people's support • Is there a democratic base? • Do Government benefit form regional or international recognition
B.2	B.2 Public Administration efficiency, delivery, reliability, accessibility...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which services delivers public administration, • Service quality and outreach • Can all citizen access public services, (geographical and economic accesses). Is there a big gap among urban and rural coverage • Is there any mechanism to influence improvement of Public services? • Public Administration is supported with a fair tax system to subsidise basic social services?
B.3	B.3 Rule of Law	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a separation of powers, (legislative, executive, judiciary) • Is there a distinction between civil, police and military roles. • Rule of Law is generally respected by society and factual powers. • Is there a solid body of law? Customary/positive law • Rule of Law is applied across the countries.
B.4	B.4 International legitimacy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extension and quality of Diplomatic relations • Presence in regional international Fora • Fluid presence of foreign embassies in the country. • Regional bodies presences, • UN presence and relations • INGO presence and active role...

C. Country infrastructures. How strong is the country "hardware": logistics, infrastructures

Guiding questions, issues

C.1	C.1 National infrastructures: communications transport, services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of road country access • Communication networks, telephone, internet, commercial radio systems, TV, newspapers... • Vehicles national fleet, quality, and accessibility • Heavy truck availability • Public transport for individuals, network, and quality. • Other transport infrastructures: railways, airports, harbours, etc. • Health and education structures
-----	--	--





C.2	C.2 National Civil Protection services (DRR infrastructures, Emergency response units, etc,...)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administration entities able to deal with Disasters' response • Policy to mobilise resources at highest level to deal with big crises, (legal governance scheme, effective capacity to integrate resources form different Departments or Ministries, etc.) • Presence of Local Organisations able to deal with Disasters • Populations culture and knowledge of DRR • Capacity to coordinate national bodies with international bodies • Capacity to deal with international standards. • Capacity of absorb efficiently external resources.
C.3	C.3 Human Geography	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geographical-Population distribution urban/rural • Accessibility to different populations • Diversity of cultures, languages

2.3.2 Assessment of Country Contextual Capacities

HUCOCA Country Context capacities table				
Capacity Cluster	Capacity/thematic area	Score (0-5)	Strengths	Weaknesses
A. Society strength	A.1 Strength and power of Civil Society		•	•
	A.2 Human Development		•	•
	A.3 Human Rights respect		•	•
	A.4 Humanitarian Access		•	•
B. State and politics	B.1 Governance and Institutional structures		•	•
	B.2 Public Administration efficiency		•	•
	B.3 Rule of Law		•	•
	B.4 International legitimacy		•	•
C. Country infrastructure	C.1 National infrastructures		•	•
	C.2 National Civil Protection services		•	•
	C.3 Human Geography		•	•





3. Integrated Humanitarian Country Capacity Analysis

Based on the aggregated organizational analysis and the country context analysis

An integrated analysis should be made, correlating the country wide analysis with the organizational one. Please note that there is a particular , (but not exclusive) synergy among the following areas:

A with 1, 2 and 3; B with 2 and 3; C with 4 and 5

Capacity Cluster	A. Society strength	B. State and politics	C. Country infrastructure
1. Identity and Mission (Who & Why)	A.1	B.1	C.1
2. Managerial Capacities (Hard How)	A.2	B.2	C.2
3. Approach, Commitment (Soft How)	A.3	B.3	C.3
4. Technical Expertise (What)	A.4	B.4	C.4
5. Size Capability (How much)	A.5	B.5	C.5

4. Capacity Investment plan

Based on this analysis a Capacity Development Plan should be outlined; but it must be noted that not all investments produce the same results in terms of cost efficiency. Therefore an analysis should be made of the most cost-efficient approaches.

The following format could help on this.

Capacity area of influence, (e.g.. A.1, B.2,)	CAPACITY ACTIONS	MONITORING INDICATORS
	•	•
	•	•





Capacity area of influence, (e.g.. A.1, B.2,)	CAPACITY ACTIONS	MONITORING INDICATORS
	•	•
	•	•

5. Stakeholders contributions and critical feed back

Final step will be a review with all country stake holders to complement and give critical feedback of the analysis and capacity plan proposed, and if appropriate agree on ways to implement it.

END

